


WHAT REPRESENTS US

AI behind everything we deliver. Always, from now on.

AWP Labs develops its dashboards, schedule audits and toolchains with AI as a full member of the team. Planning expertise sets the rules. AI builds, tests and documents, every day, on the structure of the data, never on your data.



Nine dashboards on one P6 database, all built this way.

</> Build

Every dashboard, query and script is developed with AI in the loop on the P6 data model: tables, fields and synthetic databases. From request to published board in days.

✓ Verify

Each board passes an automated browser regression before it ships: zero page errors, every control exercised on a synthetic P6 database.

🧩 Standardise

One A/B standard, one EPS selector, one code per object. AI applies the rules to every board, so nothing drifts between deliverables.

📅 Remember

Every session, decision and gotcha goes to the toolchain memory. The knowledge stays with the service, not in one person's head.

180

catalogued objects

37

dashboard compositions

9

dashboards on one .db




AI sees the structure of the data. Your data stays safe.

Development runs on the P6 schema and on synthetic or anonymised databases: client schedules never reach an AI. And the dashboards themselves run locally, with no AI, no internet and no server touching your data.

SCHEDULE REVIEW, FROM THE PORTFOLIO TO THE ACTIVITY

The schedule as P6 saved it. Nothing added, nothing changed.

AWP Labs dashboards read the P6 database itself. No export, no spreadsheet, no re-keying: every number on screen is the raw record of the program.



Schedules - EPS

The EPS as P6 stores it, rebuilt from PROJWBS (the ancestors of the node with proj_node_flag = 'Y'). Click a schedule to load it into the other tabs. 4 of 4 scored.

filter by Project ID or name... expand all collapse all 4 of 4 schedule(s) ☐ P6 baseline copies (1)

PROJECT ID	PROJECT NAME	DATA DATE	ACTIVITIES	LFH
EPS - Enterprise (4)				
MIN - Mineria (4)				
PN - Proyecto Norte (2)				
PN-UPD06	Proyecto Norte - Update 06	2026-06-01	148	35.7
PN-UPD12	Proyecto Norte - Update 12	2026-09-04	148	35.7
MIN_CT - Mineria - Contratos (0)				
MFG00925-1	Melrose - Plant Expansion (copy)	2026-09-04	0	80.0
PS-UPD03	Proyecto Sur - Update 03	2026-09-04	148	45.5

Not listed, as in P6's EPS: 1 no EPS parent (P6 template), 2 EPS node rows, 1 P6 baseline copy, 1 deleted in P6.

Header - PN-UPD06 — Proyecto Norte - Update 06

2026-06-01 Data date (last_recalc_date)	2026-03-02 Start (actual/early)	2027-03-10 Finish (actual/forecast)	148 Activities	147 Relationships	19 WBS nodes	2 Calendars in use
135 TASKSRSC assignments	PN-BL1 Linked baseline					

Calendars

ID	Name	h/day	days/week	h/week measured	week_hr_cnt declared	Source
100	5 Day Workweek project	8.00	5	40.0	45.0 Δ	(cldr_data)
101	7-Day Workweek	8.00	7	56.0	56.0	(cldr_data)

Δ = the declared week_hr_cnt does not match the real calendar. Conversions use the calendar of each activity.

The EPS as P6 stores it, read from the database in the browser.



Raw P6 data

Reads the P6 SQLite snapshot directly. No XER, no intermediate files.



No manipulation

Read-only. No CPM recalculation, no writes back to P6, no edited values.



Local, disconnected

The dashboards run in your browser with no AI, no internet and no server behind them.

01 Portfolio: every schedule in the EPS, scored from its own record.

The EPS as P6 stores it

Rebuilt from the PROJWBS table, not from a list someone typed. Baseline copies, templates and deleted projects are reported, not hidden.

One line per schedule: data date, activities, health

The LFH score (DCMA-14) sits next to each program, so the review starts where the risk is.

Portfolio matrix: all checks, all programs

The 14 checks side by side across the portfolio, exportable, with the same numbers the audit tab shows.

Looking for Healthy

[LinkLab](#)
 Reviews | SQA | Industry pages

☒ All - 100% +
 ☐ TEST_AWSLAB_VS06

Date from: 4 Sep 2026
+ LTM 66.4%

SOURCE : PS SQLSTATE DATABASE
 Need your browser, add only – for the first keyless this machine.

EXPORT RESULTS - REPORT THRESHOLDS

Excel
 CSV
 Thresholds
 id

Excel and CSV describe the schedule open in the audit tab.

Schedule audit
 Portfolio matrix


4 schedules - 3 analyzed
 Analyze the remaining 1

Export matrix

CPS	Sched	Activities	Relationships	LFI Score	Avg	Logic	Legs	Relationship types	Hart constants	High fault	Negative flow	High duration	Invalid date	Issues
Enterprise - Miralva - Projects Home	PM-UPOD --> Projects Norte - Update 06	PL	1x1	147	42.2%	0%	1	18.0%	18.0%	0.0%	15.0%	2	17.7%	0
Enterprise - Miralva - Projects Home	PM-UPOD --> Projects Norte - Update 12	PL	1x1	147	42.2%	0%	1	18.0%	18.0%	0%	20.7%	2	23.4%	0
Enterprise - Miralva - Projects Home	MP000205 - 1-Melrose - Plant Expansion (empty)	NO	0	0	80.0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0	0	0
Enterprise - Miralva	PS-UPOD --> Projects Sur -Update 03	PO	1x1	147	80.0%									

6 programs - "TEST_AWSLAB_VS06" computed in your browser - score without offset weights (every check weighs 1)

Download content directly from the SQA or from the Project & Activity Properties and save locally as a file
 hml/2026-09-04/04_09_13/107




Schedules · EPS. Click a schedule and every dashboard follows it.



02 Schedule: A against B, one delta everywhere.

— A reference — B compared · $\Delta = B - A$




Progress Tool · Curves & Progress

Two schedules, always

Baseline vs update, cut vs cut, offer vs offer. Every KPI, curve and milestone reads $\Delta = B - A$. No N-schedule clutter.

Quantities in their own unit

Budgeted, actual, remaining and at completion straight from the assignments. Labor hours stay hours, equipment stays equipment.




Progress Tool · Resource matrix

Quality and logic compared too


DCMA-14 side by side, traceability between phases, circular logic, milestone drift and Monte Carlo risk on both schedules.

03 Activity: why the date moved, straight from the record.




LFH · Looking for Healthy

Each DCMA-14 check shows its count over its exact denominator, now and at baseline, and lists the activities that fail it. Auditable, not a scorecard.



Advanced Gantt

Every activity of B with the matching bar of A underneath, its float, links and resources. Filters for critical, milestones and incomplete.



Roadmap

Phases with their critical stretch, resources by class and milestones, for A and for B, and the compared Gantt between them.



Same selector at every depth: pick A and B once on the EPS tree and every dashboard follows. Dates are read by status, so an unstarted activity never shows a false slip.

WHY REVIEW HERE

Cloud control. Saved snapshots. Data that stays with you.

SaaS, not another install

- No drivers, no desktop tools. A browser and the .db.
- One standard for owner, EPC and contractor: A vs B, one reading of every delta.
- Multi-tenant EPS in the cloud, each client's tree isolated.
- P6 stays the authoring tool. Nothing is replaced, everything is audited.

Snapshots, not a live wire

- Saved facts, not a recalculation. The same review gives the same answer months later.
- Any two: baseline vs update, cut vs cut, offer vs offer.
- One data contract, the P6 SQLite file. No XER, no intermediate exports.
- Auditable: each check keeps its exact denominator and the activities that fail it.

Your data stays on your side

- The .db opens in your browser, read-only. Nothing is uploaded.
- The dashboards run locally, disconnected from any AI and from the internet.
- Tender and NDA schedules are reviewed with no copy on any server.
- Heavy work runs on your device. The cloud keeps only the EPS, versions and cached results.
- Close the tab and no copy remains.

P6 authors. The snapshot certifies. The browser analyses. AWP Labs orders the portfolio.

